

Reply to arXiv:1002.4366, "Comment on 'Motion of an impurity in an ultracold quasi-one-dimensional gas of hard-core bosons'", by S. Giraud and R. Combescot

M. D. Girardeau^{1,*} and A. Minguzzi^{2,†}

¹*College of Optical Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA*

²*Université Joseph Fourier, Laboratoire de Physique et Modélisation des Milieux Condensés, C.N.R.S., B.P. 166, 38042 Grenoble, France*

(Dated: March 2, 2010)

In their Comment [1] Giraud and Combescot point out that the contribution to the impurity-boson distribution function $\rho_{bi}(x-y)$ of a term we dropped is not negligible, rather than being negligible in the thermodynamic limit as we had conjectured. We now agree with them, but nevertheless our results for ρ_{bi} are highly accurate for large impurity-boson mass ratio m_i/m and remain qualitatively correct for all values of m_i/m and all values of the boson-impurity coupling constant.

PACS numbers: 03.75.-b, 67.85.-d

This is our response to a recent Comment [1] by S. Giraud and R. Combescot, which comments on our recent paper [2] on a moving impurity particle in an ultracold quasi-one-dimensional gas of hard-core bosons. The paragraph below is the verbatim text of an Erratum resubmitted to PRA on February 22, 2010, initially submitted in July 2009 and placed on hold by PRA.

In our derivations we dropped a momentum fluctuation term $\hat{p}_F^2$ in Eq. (6) for the Hamiltonian in the rest system of the impurity, arguing, on the basis of a plausibility argument in Sec. V, that its contribution to the impurity-boson distribution function should be negligible in the thermodynamic limit. As a consequence, one would conclude that the impurity-boson distribution function is independent of the impurity mass ratio m_i/m . However, comparison with the solution of McGuire [3] for the special case of equal boson and impurity masses shows different behaviour. For example, in the limit where the coupling strength $\tilde{\lambda} = mg/k_F$ is equal to infinity, analytical expressions for the boson-impurity distribution function are available and one respectively gets $\rho_{bi}(x) = 1 - |j_0(k_F x)|^2$ for the case of $m_i/m = 1$ [3] and $\rho_{bi}(x) = 1 - j_0(2k_F x)$ for the case $m_i/m \rightarrow \infty$ [2, 4]. This implies that the omitted momentum fluctuation term is not negligible; we thank S. Giraud and R. Combescot for pointing this out. Therefore, our results for the impurity-boson distribution function are only approximate. The sentence "This yields the exact ground state (total linear momentum $q = 0$) and exact boson-impurity distribution function..." in the Abstract should

therefore be replaced by "In an approximation which neglects the fluctuation of linear momentum of the Bose gas, we find the ground state (total linear momentum $q = 0$) and boson-impurity distribution function for arbitrary m_i/m and arbitrary impurity-boson interaction strength." Also, the first sentence of Sec. V should be replaced by "Here we examine the contribution of the term $\frac{\hat{p}_F^2}{2m_i}$ in Eq. (6).", and the next to last sentence of Sec. V should be omitted. The impurity-boson distribution function which we derived is highly accurate for large impurity-boson mass ratio, i.e., $m_i/m \gg 1$, as previously shown in the limit $m_i/m = \infty$ [4] and recently confirmed by Giraud and Combescot [5]. Comparison of the two analytical solutions mentioned above in the case where the difference is expected to be largest (very large impurity-boson coupling constant) indicates that our solution remains qualitatively correct for all values of m_i/m and all values of the boson-impurity coupling constant, while not reproducing the details of the spatial behaviour of the impurity-boson distribution on the short-distance scale $k_F x \leq 1$.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to S. Giraud and R. Combescot for helpful correspondence which motivated our submission of an Erratum in July 2009.

*Electronic address: girardeau@optics.arizona.edu

†Electronic address: anna.minguzzi@grenoble.cnrs.fr

[1] S. Giraud and R. Combescot, arXiv:1002.4366.

[2] M.D. Girardeau and A. Minguzzi, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 033610 (2009).

[3] J.B. McGuire, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) **6**, 432 (1965).

[4] M.D. Girardeau and E.M. Wright, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**,

5691 (2000).

[5] S. Giraud and R. Combescot, Phys. Rev. **79**, 043615 (2009).